

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/824,430	09/824,430 04/02/2001		Luther Jackson	GE-07043	8163		
28581	7590	11/29/2005		·EXAM	·EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS LLP PO BOX 5203				MEINECKE DIA	MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M		
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3623			

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/824,430	JACKSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susanna M. Diaz	3623	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. [The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. 🔯 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 101. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: 2-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 🔲 Other: ____ fusanna Digz Susanna M. Diaz **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 3623

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In reference to the rejection of claims 3-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, Applicant argues, "The ILS date represents the date at which all the materials required for the upgrade are (or are promised to become) available. A single comparison of the ILS date (at which the material becomes available) with the desired selected data (at which the upgrade is to begin) will indicate whether the acquisition process has been accomplished or not, and whether the upgrade can go forward." (Pages 4-5 of Applicant's response) However, the Examiner submits that these details are not reflected in the claim language. Claim 3 recites "selecting a most remote one of the determined arrival dates as an integrated logistic support (ILS) date," yet this implies that the person selecting the most remote date is actively setting this remote date as the ILS date in one step. Applicant's arguments imply that there is a preexisting ILS date that may be distinct from the remote date; however, the claims do not make this distinction.

Regarding the art rejection, Applicant argues that "the structure rendered obvious by the suggested combination of references must exactly correpond with the claimed invention in order to sustain the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection." (Page 6 of Applicant's response) It should be noted that claims 2-4 are method claims and do not specify any structural limitations. Applicant also argues that "only the admitted prior art is applied, and the rejection is therefore treated as being under 35 U.S.C. 102." (Page 6 of Applicant's response) Applicant's contention is not understood. As explained in the art rejection as well as in the response to arguments section of the final Office action mailed September 15, 2005, claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Applicant's admitted prior art in light of knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention. The Examiner has presented a line of reasoning to explain why the claimed invention is obvious in light of Applicant's admitted prior art. Applicant has not addressed this line of reasoning.

Applicant maintains that there is no "change document" taught in Applicant's admitted prior art. As explained in the final Office action, a "change document" refers to any document changes that need to be made as a result of the upgrade. Applicant's admitted prior art states that manuals may have to be updated in response to an upgrade, thereby exemplifying "change documents."

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/824,430	JACKSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Susanna M. Diaz	3623

-- The MAII ING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	THE MAILING DATE OF this communication appears on the cover shock with the correspondence address
req	e amendment document filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the juirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is juired.
TH	E FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
	 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
	 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☑ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at o://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf
TIM	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
2.	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Part of Paper No. 11212005

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Since claims 2-4 were previously presented, they should be labeled as such.